

UNITED ST. OEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Weshington D.C. 2021

	ngton, D.C. 20231		
SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/379,872 01/27/95	FLACK	M	1170-488r
			EXAMINER
		GOLDBE	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH &	12M1/0124	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PO BOX 747	BINGA		\wedge
FALLS CHURCH VA 22040-0	1747		8
		1205	
		DATE MAILED:	
his is a communication from the examiner in charge	of your application.		01/24/96
OMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS	5		·
			_
_/			, "2.,
This application has been examined Res	sponsive to communication filed on 10	117/95	This perior is sent a
			This action is made it
shortened statutory period for response to this action illure to respond within the period for response will c	n is set to expire month(s),	days fro	om the date of this letter.
		ed. 35 U.S.C. 133	
art I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE P	ART OF THIS ACTION:		
1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, P	TO 200		
3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449.		e of Draftsman's Pa	tent Drawing Review, PTO-S
5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Chan	ges. PTO-1474 6	e of Informal Patent	Application, PTO-152.
	ges, 10 14,4. 0. <u> </u>		
Intil SUMMARY OF ACTION			
. [Claims 1, 3, 4 and 9-15		•	
			are pending in the applicati
Of the above, claims 9-12		are	withdrawn from consideration
. Claims			
_			
. L.J Claims			are allowed.
[Claims 1, 3, 4 and 13-	T		
2,9 000 1 3 1	<u>, , </u>		are rejected.
Claims			are objected to
Claims			
This application has been filed with informal dra	awings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are a	cceptable for examin	nation purposes.
Formal drawings are required in response to thi			F
—			
The corrected or substitute drawings have been	received on	Under 37 C.i	F.R. 1.84 these drawings
are acceptable; not acceptable (see expl	anation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent I	Drawing Review, PT	O-948).
The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of	of drawings, filed on	has (have) been I	Tonoussed by the
examiner; disapproved by the examiner (se	e explanation).	THE STATE ST	Tabhinasa ay tue
The proposed drawing correction filed		_	
The proposed drawing correction, filed			
Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priori	ity under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified or	opy has 🗆 been red	seived I not been receiver
been filed in parent application, serial no	; filed on		
Since this application apppears to be in condition	n for allowance excent for formal matters		
accordance with the practice under Ex parte Qui	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	, prosecution as to t	ne ments is closed in
Other			
L_I Other			•
	* *		

Serial Number: 08/379,872

Art Unit: 1205

Claims 9-12 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 C.F.R. § 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention, the requirement having been traversed in Paper No. 6.

Applicants' remarks are noted but the enhanced combination of Group II would support separate patent. Applicants elected the invention of Group I wherein gossypol alone is being employed. Claims 1, 3 and 4 are being examined as they read on the elected invention.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103, the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of potential 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

Claims 1, 3, 4 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Wu et al reference. The Wu et al. reference teaches gossypol for treating carcinomas in

Serial Number: 08/379,872

Art Unit: 1205

vive (see Abstract) including mammary carcinomas (page 3754, col. 1, lines 19-24). In view of this, the claim drawn to other carcinomas would be motivated in the application of the prior art anti-carcinoma drug. Moreover, pages 8-10, of specification are drawn to treating SW-13 cells which are the same SW-13 cells employed in the prior art reference.

Claims 1 and 13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as the disclosure is enabling only for claims limited in accordance with the disclosure at page 14 of the specification. See M.P.E.P. §§ 706.03(n) and 706.03(z).

The term "cancer" in claims 1 and 13 lacks clear exemplary support in the specification as filed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Goldberg at telephone number (703) 308-1235. The facsimile center of Group 1200 operates from 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM, Monday through Friday. The numbers for accessing the fax machines are (703)308-4556 and 305-3592.

goldberg: kv January 5, 1996

JEROME D. GOLDBERG PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200